Congestion Control in IEEE 802.11p

Date: 2006-01-16

Authors:

Name	Company	Address	Phone	email
Lothar Stibor	Philips & ComNets, Chair of Communication Networks, RWTH Aachen University	Kopernikusstr. 16, 52074 Aachen, Federal Republic of Germany	+49-241-802-0547	lsr@comnets.rwth-aachen.de
Yunpeng Zang	Philips & ComNets, Chair of Communication Networks, RWTH Aachen University	Kopernikusstr. 16, 52074 Aachen, Federal Republic of Germany	+49-241-802-5829	<u>zangyp@ieee.org</u>
Hans-Jürgen Reumerman	Philips Research Laboratories	Weißhausstr. 2, 52066 Aachen, Federal Republic of Germany	+49-241-600-3629	hans-j.reumerman@philips.com

Notice: This document has been prepared to assist IEEE 802.11. It is offered as a basis for discussion and is not binding on the contributing individual(s) or organization(s). The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.

Release: The contributor grants a free, irrevocable license to the IEEE to incorporate material contained in this contribution, and any modifications thereof, in the creation of an IEEE Standards publication; to copyright in the IEEE's name any IEEE Standards publication even though it may include portions of this contribution; and at the IEEE's sole discretion to permit others to reproduce in whole or in part the resulting IEEE Standards publication. The contributor also acknowledges and accepts that this contribution may be made public by IEEE 802.11.

Patent Policy and Procedures: The contributor is familiar with the IEEE 802 Patent Policy and Procedures <<u>http://ieee802.org/guides/bylaws/sb-bylaws.pdf</u>>, including the statement "IEEE standards may include the known use of patent(s), including patent applications, provided the IEEE receives assurance from the patent holder or applicant with respect to patents essential for compliance with both mandatory and optional portions of the standard." Early disclosure to the Working Group of patent information that might be relevant to the standard is essential to reduce the possibility for delays in the development process and increase the likelihood that the draft publication will be approved for publication. Please notify the Chair <<u>stuart.kerry@philips.com</u>> as early as possible, in written or electronic form, if patented technology (or technology under patent application) might be incorporated into a draft standard being developed within the IEEE 802.11 Working Group. If you have questions, contact the IEEE Patent Committee Administrator at <<u>patcom@ieee.org</u>>.

Abstract

- Proposed solution for K.5
- Proposed wording of section K.6
- Revision history
 - R2
 - Included comments from discussion on January 18th
 - R1
 - Added proposed wording for K.5
 - R0
 - Proposed wording for K.6

K.5

Private message restriction on CCH (K.5)

• K.5: "Private transmissions on the Control Channel shall be limited in duration and minimum interval of transmission. The limits of Control Channel usage for private applications using broadcast frame transmissions are defined in the following Table and note. These limits apply to fragmented messages as well as messages contained within one frame."

Transmission Duration	RSUs	OBUs
Maximum Data Transmission Duration	750 µsec	580 µsec
Minimum Interval between Data Transmissions	100 msec ^a	750 msec

^a10 msec Minimum Transmissions Intervals are allowed in low power (10 dBm EIRP) operations.

Intention of restriction

- CCH must be protected from congestion in order to fulfill its purpose
 - Network organization
 - Broadcast safety messages
 - Initiate service channel transmissions
 - Announce available services
- Possible "private" messages on the CCH
 - Announcement of services
 - Low priority broadcasts
- Prevent STAs from blocking the wireless medium with low priority messages

Problem description

• What the 802.11p MAC can't do

- doesn't know categories like "private"
- Has no facility to track transmission intervals
- Distinguish between CCH & SSH

• What the 802.11p MAC can do

- Knows about access categories (ACs)
- Enforce transmission time restrictions via transmission opportunities (TXOPs) in units of 32 µs
- Fragment frames that doesn't fit in a single TXOP

Conclusion

- Solution 1
 - Set the TXOPlimit for the both low priority ACs AC_BK and AC_BE to
 - 23 for RSU -> 23 * 32 μ s = 736 μ s
 - 18 for OBU -> 18 * 32 μ s = 576 μ s
 - Fragmentation for frames from AC_BK and AC_BE that doesn't fit in a single TXOP
- Solution 2
 - Move this problem to 1609.4

Proposed wording for K.5

• K.5: "Low priority transmissions shall be limited in duration. The limitation shall be applied to transmissions of the access categories AC_BE and AC_BK by setting the TXOP limit to 23 for RSUs and 18 for OBUs."

K.6

Necessity of Congestion Control

- The WAVE communication protocol is build on control channel (CCH) communication
 - Initiation of the WAVE BSS
 - Safety relevant messages
 - Initiation of Service Channel (SCH) communication
 - Announcement of services

• Contention based CCH access schema (EDCA)

- Number of collisions grow with number of participating stations
 - Larger latencies due to large contention windows (CWs)
 - Many collisions with high priority transmissions due to small CWs
- EDCA is known to work only with less than approx. 50% medium occupancy time

=> CCH congestion has to be avoided at all cost!

Measuring Congestion

• Physical layer

– Medium occupancy time

• MAC layer

- Medium occupancy time
- Packet Error Rate (PER)

• MAC Extension layer (MACX)

- Transmission queue length
- Frame delay
- Contention window size
- Short / long retry counters

Benefits and drawbacks

• PHY layer

- Has no knowledge of CCH interval and SSH intervals
- Is not able to distinguish between SSH and CCH congestion

• MAC layer

- Large PER may be caused by bad propagation conditions
- Has no knowledge of CCH interval and SSH intervals
- Medium occupancy time is a well fitting measure for channel congestion, each STA in communication range has the same measurement

• MACX layer

- Transmission queue length needs time to build up
- Unsuccessful transmissions add to existing CCH congestion
- Frame delay can only be measured after successful transmission
- MACX layer is out of scope of 802.11p

Reaction on upcoming CCH congestion

• Binary approach

- Congestion can be avoided by not transmitting at all
- Leveled
 - Depending on the grade of congestion only high priority access categories (ACs) are allowed to transmit

CCH state	Measure of CCH congestion	Allowed ACs binary	Allowed ACs leveled
Everything is fine	tbd	0, 1, 2, 3	0, 1, 2, 3
Lots of traffic	tbd	0, 1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3
Upcoming congestion	tbd	none	2, 3
congestion	tbd	none	3

Responsibility of congestion control

- Somebody must be responsible for congestion control
- Not all varieties of upper layers will play fair
- We have no influence on all possible upper layer solutions for 802.11p
- The MAC layer may not have all information, needed for efficient congestion control

Conclusions

Two step approach:

- Step 1
 - An efficient congestion control algorithm shall be implemented in the MACX layer
 - Efficient congestion control can only be done in the MACX layer
 - The algorithm is out of scope of 802.11p
- Step 2
 - The MAC layer shall enforce the congestion control
 - The MAC layer shall monitor the medium occupancy time
 - The MAC shall report the measured medium occupancy time to the MACX layer
 - The MAC layer shall reject transmission attempts from the MACX layer for low priority ACs, when congestion is detected

Proposed wording for K.6

- K.6 Control Channel Congestion
- Congestion on the current channel shall be monitored to ensure it does not fail under congested conditions. The STAs MLME shall monitor the medium occupancy time on the current channel by measuring the CCA busy indication. This measure shall be reported to the upper layers. If the medium occupancy time on the current channel is larger than 50%, the MAC layer shall reject the transmission attempt from upper layers for all but the highest priority access category with the transmission status "undeliverable" in the MA-UNITDATA-STATUS.indication.